

1 AARON D. FORD  
2 Attorney General  
3 DAWN R. JENSEN (Bar No. 10933)  
4 Deputy Attorney General  
5 State of Nevada  
6 Office of the Attorney General  
7 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900  
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
9 (702) 486-3195 (phone)  
10 (702) 486-3773 (fax)  
11 Email: drjensen@ag.nv.gov

12 *Attorneys for Defendant Efrain Lona*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 WESLIE MARTIN,  
16 Plaintiff,  
17 v.  
18 THE STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,  
19 Defendants.

20 Case No. 2:18-cv-02426-RFB-VCF

21 **UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND  
THE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS  
DEADLINE THIRTY DAYS  
(FIRST REQUEST TO EXTEND THE  
DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS  
DEADLINE)**

22 Defendant, Efrain Lona, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney  
23 General, and Dawn R. Jensen, Deputy Attorney General, hereby moves, unopposed, to  
24 extend the dispositive motion deadline, by thirty-two (32) days to, Monday, March 21,  
25 2022.<sup>1</sup>

26 **I. BACKGROUND**

27 Plaintiff Weslie Martin (hereinafter Martin) is an inmate lawfully incarcerated in  
28 the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC). Martin sues Correctional Officer Efrain  
Lona for various alleged constitutional violations occurring during Martin's incarceration  
at High Desert State Prison (HDSP). ECF No. 19 at 5; ECF No. 18 at 3-11. Pursuant to this  
Court's Screening Order, Martin was permitted to proceed against Lona on the following

29  
30 <sup>1</sup> Defendants are requesting a thirty-two (32) day extension, rather than a thirty  
31 (30) day extension because the 30<sup>th</sup> day falls on a Saturday.

1 counts: (1) one count of use of excessive force under the Eighth Amendment; and (2) one  
2 count of deliberate indifference to a serious medical need under the Eighth Amendment.  
3 ECF No. 18 at 7-11.

4 A scheduling order was issued on July 23, 2021, setting the deadline for discovery to  
5 close on January 18, 2022, and for filing dispositive motions by February 17, 2022. ECF  
6 No. 59 at 2. The current Deputy Attorney General (hereinafter DAG Jensen) came on to  
7 this case in November 2021. ECF No. 77. On November 29, 2021, the court held a hearing  
8 on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, and  
9 Motion for Clerk's Default. At the hearing, after discussing the status of discovery, the  
10 court ordered the parties to meet and confer to develop a new proposed scheduling order  
11 and ordered Plaintiff be provided a paper copy of all medical records by December 10, 2021.  
12 ECF No. 82. The proposed scheduling order was due to the court by December 20, 2021.  
13 Id. The parties did meet and confer and Plaintiff was provided all medical records to his  
14 cell.

15 On December 22, 2021, the parties did meet and confer. DAG Jensen and Martin  
16 discussed the current scheduling order and the dates set forth therein. After inquiring how  
17 much time Plaintiff would need, Martin indicated he did not need more time; he was  
18 satisfied with keeping the deadlines as they are. The parties discussed the status of  
19 discovery production and concluded the call. On January 6, 2022, Martin filed a notice  
20 indicating that discovery is complete. ECF No. 88.

21 On February 11, 2022, DAG Jensen spoke with Martin. DAG Jensen indicated that  
22 due to the demands of her workload and an inability to meet the deadline, she requested a  
23 thirty-day extension to file dispositive motions. Martin graciously agreed and indicated he  
24 would not be opposed to my request.

25 Defendants now move to extend the deadline to complete dispositive motions thirty-  
26 two (32) days to Monday, March 21, 2022. Good cause supports this extension request as  
27 more particularly set forth herein below.

28 . . .

1       **II.    LEGAL STANDARD**

2           Motions to enlarge time are governed by FED R. CIV. PROC. 6(b) and Nevada Local  
3 Court Rule 26-3.<sup>2</sup>

4           (b) Extending Time.

5               (1) In General. When an act may or must be done within a specified  
6               time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time:

7                   (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a  
8                   request is made, before the original time or its extension  
9                   expires; or

9                   (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed  
10                  to act because of excusable neglect.<sup>[3]</sup>

10           FED R. CIV. PROC. 6(b).

11           **LR 26-3. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULED DEADLINES**

12           A motion or stipulation to extend any date set by the discovery plan,  
13           scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the  
14           requirements of LR IA 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the  
15           extension. A motion or stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a  
16           discovery plan must be received by the court no later than 21 days before  
17           the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made within 21 days of the  
18           subject deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause. A request  
19           made after the expiration of the subject deadline will not be granted unless  
20           the movant also demonstrates that the failure to act was the result of  
21           excusable neglect. A motion or stipulation to extend a discovery deadline or  
22           to reopen discovery must include:

23               (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;

24               (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;

25               (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discov-  
26               ery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery  
27               plan; and

28               (d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.<sup>[4]</sup>

---

2           <sup>2</sup> On April 17, 2020, the Federal District Court of Nevada amended the Local Rules.  
This motion cites to the most recent version.

3           <sup>3</sup> Fed R. Civ. Proc. 6(b).

4           <sup>4</sup> Nevada Local Court Rules LR 26-4.

1       **III. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

2           **A. Local Rule 26-3 Requirements**

3              **1. The Discovery Completed to Date**

4           To date, the parties have completed the following discovery: Defendants provided a  
5 full and complete paper copy of Plaintiffs medical record (pursuant to minute order; ECF  
6 No. 82) on December 14, 2021. Defendants provided Responses to Plaintiffs Request for  
7 Production of Documents on December 29, 2021.

8              **2. Discovery That Remains to Be Completed**

9           Discovery is complete in this action. On January 6, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Notice to  
10 the court that discovery has been completed. ECF No. 88.

11             **3. The Reason the Dispositive Motion Deadline Cannot Be  
12 Completed**

13           Defendant is unable to adhere to the dispositive motion deadline is due to the  
14 demands of DAG Jensen's current caseload. Counsel for the defense is assigned a current  
15 caseload of approximately forty-two matters. *See Exhibit A (Declaration of Counsel).* In  
16 the preceding two weeks, counsel has prepared for and attended five mediations and/or  
17 settlement conferences, one being a global settlement involving six matters, prepared for  
18 and attended a Las Vegas Justice Court trial, and filed two Answers. In the next two  
19 weeks, DAG Jensen is required to: prepare for and attend four more mediations and/or  
20 settlement conferences, file another Motion for Summary Judgment, with another  
21 dispositive motions deadlines fast approaching in March, draft a response to a Motion to  
22 Compel and a Response to a Temporary Restraining Order, and finalize settlement  
23 documents in other recently resolved matters. Also, DAG Jensen is sharing in additional  
24 tasks and case reassignments, due to OAG staff out on FMLA leave. Lastly, DAG Jensen  
25 is a mother to young children which presents challenges in completing anything after  
26 hours.

27           Granting a thirty-two (32) day extension would move the dispositive motion deadline  
28 to, Monday, March 21, 2022. As discussed below, Martin is not opposed to this extension.

1 DAG Jensen respectfully asks this court to extend the dispositive motions deadline so that  
2 she can complete the various upcoming deadlines and yet give the attention this case  
3 deserves.

4           **4. ~~Proposed~~ Discovery Schedule**

5           **Deadline for Dispositive Motions:** Monday, March 21, 2022.

6           **Deadline for Joint Pretrial Order:** The joint pretrial order is due thirty (30) days  
7 after the entry of the Court's order on dispositive motions.

8           **B. Good Cause Supports the Extension**

9           This Court should find good cause supports the extension. Specifically, DAG Jensen  
10 has been diligent in meeting her prior obligations. At the same time, she is committed to  
11 a multitude of upcoming deadlines and responsibilities from an active and increasing  
12 caseload. Due to a temporary staff deficit, extra tasks, deadlines, and last-minute requests  
13 for assistance are expected. Also, working at home after hours is not practicable. Thus,  
14 these factors prevent counsel from being able to meet the dispositive motion deadline. DAG  
15 Jensen intends to meet the thirty-two (32) day extension and it would not prejudice either  
16 party. *See Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1260, (9th Cir. 2010) (holding  
17 that the “district court abused its discretion in denying party’s timely motion” to extend  
18 time because the party “demonstrated the ‘good cause’ required by Rule 6, and because  
19 there was no reason to believe that [the party] was acting in bad faith or was  
20 misrepresenting his reasons for asking for the extension”).

21           **C. Meet And Confer**

22           On February 11, 2022, DAG Jensen spoke with Martin. She indicated that due to  
23 the demands of her workload and an inability to meet the deadline, she requested a thirty-  
24 day extension to file dispositive motions. Martin graciously agreed and indicated he would  
25 not be opposed to DAG Jensen’s request.

26 . . .

27 . . .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

For the forgoing reasons, and for good cause appearing, the Defendant respectfully requests this Court grant the Unopposed Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline as follows:

1. The time for the parties to file any dispositive motion be extended by thirty-two (32) days to Monday, March 21, 2022.

7       2. If no dispositive motions are filed, the Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed on or before  
8 Monday, March 21, 2022. If the parties file dispositive motions, the Joint Pretrial Order  
9 shall be filed thirty (30) days after the Court serves its order disposing of the dispositive  
10 motions, or further Court order.

DATED this 14th day of February, 2022.

AARON D. FORD  
Attorney General

By: /s/ Dawn R. Jensen  
DAWN R. JENSEN (Bar No. 10933)  
Deputy Attorney General

*Attorneys for Defendant*

## **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

*Carl Gaddis*

---

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

2-15-2022

DATED:

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, and that on February 14, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing **UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND THE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS DEADLINE THIRTY DAYS (FIRST REQUEST TO EXTEND THE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS DEADLINE)** via this Court's electronic filing system. Parties who are registered with this Court's electronic filing system will be served electronically.

Weslie Martin, #1157084  
Ely State Prison  
P.O. Box 1989  
Ely, Nevada 89301  
Email: [ESP\\_LawLibrary@doc.nv.gov](mailto:ESP_LawLibrary@doc.nv.gov)  
*Plaintiff, Pro Se*

/s/ Cathy L. Mackerl  
CATHY L. MACKERL, an employee of the  
Office of the Nevada Attorney General

# **EXHIBIT A**

## **Declaration of Counsel**

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 AARON D. FORD  
2 Attorney General  
DAWN R. JENSEN (Bar No. 10933)  
3 Deputy Attorney General  
State of Nevada  
Office of the Attorney General  
4 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 486-3195 (phone)  
(702) 486-3773 (fax)  
6 Email: drjensen@ag.nv.gov

7 *Attorneys for Defendant Efrain Lona*

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 WESLIE MARTIN,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 THE STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-02426-RFB-VCF

15 **DECLARATION OF COUNSEL**

16 I, Dawn R. Jensen, hereby attest that the following statements to the best of my  
17 knowledge are true and correct.

18 1. I am employed as a Deputy Attorney General in the Office of the Nevada Attorney  
19 General. I am employed in the Public Safety Division.

20 2. I represent the Defendant in the matter of *Weslie Martin v. The State of Nevada* case  
21 no. 2:18-cv-02426-RFB-VCF.

22 3. I am currently assigned forty-two matters.

23 4. In the preceding two weeks, I have prepared for and attended five mediations and/or  
24 settlement conferences, one being a global settlement involving six matters, prepared for  
25 and attended a Las Vegas Justice Court trial, and filed two Answers.

26 5. In the upcoming two weeks I have the following deadlines: prepare for and attend  
27 four more mediations and/or settlement conferences, file another Motion for Summary  
28 Judgment and another due in March, complete a response to a Motion to Compel, complete

1 a Response to a Temporary Restraining Order, and finalize settlement documents in other  
2 recently resolved matters.

3 6. I am sharing in additional tasks and assignments, due to OAG staff out on medical  
4 leave. I have two young children.

5 7. I certify that I bring this motion in good faith and not for any improper purpose or  
6 unnecessary delay.

7 Pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury  
8 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

9 Executed this 14th day of February, 2022.

10 AARON D. FORD  
11 Attorney General

12 By: /s/ Dawn R. Jensen  
13 DAWN R. JENSEN (Bar No. 10933)  
Deputy Attorney General

14 *Attorneys for Defendant*

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28